## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Decota Brown,	) Case No 8:20-cv-03282-DCC
Plaintiff,	)
V.	ORDER
Oconee County Sheriff's Office, Barry L. Owens, David McMahan,	) ) )
Defendants.	)
	)

This matter is before the Court Plaintiff's Complaint brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ECF No. 1. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Jacquelyn D. Austin for pre-trial proceedings and a Report and Recommendation ("Report"). On September 21, 2020, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that this action be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance of service of process. ECF No. 9. The Magistrate Judge advised Plaintiff of the procedures and requirements for filing objections to the Report and the serious consequences if he failed to do so. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the Report and the time to do so has lapsed.

## **APPLICABLE LAW AND ANALYSIS**

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final

determination remains with the Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

After considering the record in this case, the applicable law, and the Report of the Magistrate Judge, the Court finds no clear error and agrees with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED** without issuance of service of process.<sup>1</sup>

record in order to accept the recommendation." (citation omitted)).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Donald C. Coggins, Jr. United States District Judge

January 27, 2021 Spartanburg, South Carolina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The dismissal is without leave to amend because any attempt to cure the deficiencies in the Complaint would be futile. *See Goode v. Cent. Va. Legal Aid Soc'y, Inc.*, 807 F.3d 619, 624 (4th Cir. 2015); *Bing v. Brivo Sys. LLC*, 959 F.3d 605 (4th Cir. 2020).